IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO. 8105 OF 2006

Mrs. Suvarnadevi Maruti Patil ....Petitioner

versus

The Education Officer (Secondary)
Z.P., Kolhapur and others .... Respondents

Mr.S.P. Kadam for the petitioner.
Mr. V.P. Malvankar, Assistant Government Pleader, for respondent Nos. 1 to 6.
Mr. M.L. Patil for respondent No.5.

CORAM: **H.S. BEDI, C.J.,** &
V.M. KANADE, J.

DATE: **DECEMBER 11, 2006**.

P.C.

We have heard the learned counsel for the parties and have perused the pleadings including the reply filed by learned counsel for respondent No.5 in Court. We find from a perusal of paragraph No.3 of the order dated 6th July, 2006 that certain directions had been issued by the trial Court in the civil suit in question. The learned counsel for respondent No.5 states at the Bar that the examination forms of 18 students would be accepted in terms of paragraph 3 of the order dated 6th July, 2006. It is also made clear by the learned counsel for respondent No.5 that despite this, the merits of Writ Petition No. 67 of

2006 would be considered *de hors* any order made in this writ petition. We also condone the delay, if any, in filing of the examination forms. We further direct that the results of the 18 students will also be declared along with other students.

2. Writ petition is disposed of in the above terms.

CHIEF JUSTICE

V.M. KANADE, J.